

**FOR THE ATTENTION OF THE COURTS MANAGER**

**To Northampton County Court**

**FaxNo. 0845 4085304**

**From Parking Control Management (UK) Ltd.**

**FaxNo. 01753 573404**

**Subject CLAIM Nos.. 9QZ07229**

**REF: DEFENDANTS DEFENCE**

**Date 28<sup>h</sup> August 2009**

**No of pages including header sheet 3**

**Defendants defence for Claim No. 9QZ07229**

**Please note there are in excess of 20 Appendices to accompany this defence they will be sent under separate cover with a copy of this defence to the courts and the claimant by post**

**Regards**

**David Blake**

**PCM (UK) Ltd.**



IN THE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY COURT

Claim No. 9QZ07229

BETWEEN

NEIL SRIVASTAVA

And

PARKING CONTROL MANAGEMENT (UK) LTD.

---


DEFENCE

---

1. The Defendant Company is and was at all material times in the business of parking control management.
2. The defendant company is a highly accredited operator in the parking management sector (See Appendices 1-9)
3. The Defendant Company is contracted to control the abuse of parking in restricted areas and/or without an authorised permit at the site in Old School House Close Guildford (See Appendix). Signage is clearly displayed and strategically placed in the car park informing drivers of the conditions of parking and the penalties for not observing the conditions. (See Appendices)
4. On 10th July 2009 the claimant parked two vehicles in Old School House Close Guildford adjacent to a sign highlighting the regulations in place on site (See Appendices) in The vehicles were immobilised for failure to display valid permits and for parking in a restricted area in accordance with the terms clearly displayed around the car park (See Appendices)
5. The Claimants assertion that there are inadequate signs on site is refuted the defendant has several signs through out the site informing drivers of the terms and conditions for parking in the area (See Appendices)
6. The claimants appeal was not successful (See Appendix) for the reasons outlined to the client at the time of immobilisation and reiterated in the Appeal reply.
7. The defendant company have been entirely consistent in dealing with the claimant and his assertions of his right to park in Old School House Close Guildford We have explained to the claimant in detail the permit system in operation at the site. (See Appendix)

- 8. It is therefore denied that the Claimant is entitled to the return of the immobilisation fees or to any other monies as claimed or at all.

The Defendant believes the facts stated in this defence are true.

Signed   
Mr D A Blake

position or office held Manager,

Date 28/08/09

Address: The Courtyard, 1A Cranbourne Road, Slough, Berkshire  
Telephone: 01753 512603  
Facsimile: 01753 573404

